

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/840,203	04/23/2001	Dagnachew Birru	US 010189	3946	
24737	24737 7590 07/11/2005			EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			FILE, ERIN M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	·		2634		
			DATE MAILED: 07/11/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	(4)		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/840,203	BIRRU, DAGNACHEW	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Erin M. File	2634	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of th will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ja 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowange closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise. 	s action is non-final. nce except for formal ma	•	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,6-8,11-13 and 16-18 is/are rejected 7) ☐ Claim(s) 4,5,9,10,14,15,19 and 20 is/are objected 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration. ed. sted to.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 23 April 2001 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	D accepted or b) objection of the objection of the objection of the objection is required if the drawing objection is required if the objection of the object	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in a rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/23/2001</u>. 	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 11, 12, 16, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Kapoor.

Claims 1, 6, 11, 16, Kapoor discloses a frequency domain equalizer (abstract) having forward and feedback paths (fig. 4, in view of figures 1 and 2). Kapoor shows in figure 4 a decision feedback path that includes a decision feedback equalizer decision network frequency domain equalizer. The previous decisions and the data from the decision path compose the feedback and feed forward elements which are used in making the decoding decisions. Further, Kapoor discloses an error matrix used in equalization decisions and error correction and feedback (p. 781, col. 2, eq. 11).

Claim 2, 7, 12, 17, inherits the limitations of claims 1, 6, 11, and 16 respectively, further Kapoor discloses the the slicer is included in the decision feedback path, as the output

Art Unit: 2634

of the slicer, decisions a_i , are fed become previous decisions, a_{i+2} , a_{i+1} , which are used to make the next decisions.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3, 8, 13, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kapoor in view of the known prior art.

Claims 3, 8, 13, 18, inherits the limitations of claims 2, 7, 12, 17, respectively, further the admitted prior art discloses that the use of trellis decisions to minimize decoding error (p. 3, line 17 – p. 4, line 5). Further, Kapoor discloses the use of decisions in decoding data (p. 780, col. 2, paragraph 3). Because trellis decoding is admitted in the prior art as a decoding method, and no particular type of decoding is disclosed by Kapoor, it would be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to incorporate trellis decisions to minimize decoding error in Kapoor's device.

Application/Control Number: 09/840,203

Art Unit: 2634

Allowable Subject Matter

Page 4

5. Claims 4, 5, 9, 10, 14, 15, 19, and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Erin M. File whose telephone number is (571)272-6040. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Chin can be reached on (571)272-3056. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Erin M. File

7/3/2005

STEPHEN CHIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMIN'
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600